



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,772	03/25/2004	Francisco P. Maturana	03AB123 (110003.00074)	5896

63122 7590 12/29/2006  
ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC./BF  
1201 SOUTH SECOND STREET  
MILWAUKEE, WI 53204

EXAMINER
----------

PHAM, THOMAS K

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2121

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	12/29/2006	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/808,772

Applicant(s)

MATURANA ET AL.

Examiner

Thomas K. Pham

Art Unit

2121

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 March 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7, 11-13, 15-22, 24, 25, and 29-35 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 8-10, 14, 23 and 26-28 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 August 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

**First Action on the Merits**

1. Claims 1-35 of U.S. Application 10/808,772 filed on 03/25/2004 are presented for examination.

**Quotations of U.S. Code Title 35**

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. The claims and only the claims form the metes and bounds of the invention. "Office personnel are to give claims their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the supporting disclosure. In re Morris, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55, 44 USPQ2d 1023, 1027-28 (Fed. Cir 1997). Limitations appearing in the specification but not recited in the claim are not read into the claim. In re Prater, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ541, 550-551 (CCPA 1969)" (MPEP p2100-8, c 2, I 45-48; p 2100-9, c 1, I 1-4). The Examiner has full latitude to interpret each claim in the broadest reasonable sense. The Examiner will reference prior art using terminology familiar to one of ordinary skill in the art. Such an approach is broad in concept and can be either explicit or implicit in meaning.

#### **Information Disclosure Statement**

7. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 04/12/2005 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

#### **Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102**

8. Claims 1-7, 11-13, 15-22, 24, 25, and 29-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,499,364 ("Klein").

##### **Regarding claim 1**

Klein teaches the invention including a controller configured to operate as at least one agent, the controller comprising: at least one processing device – see FIG. 1; and at least one storage medium that is in communication with the at least one processing device – see FIG. 4, wherein

Art Unit: 2121

the at least one storage medium stores a plurality of program portions that are executed by the at least one processing device – see FIG. 4 and column 5 lines 29-37, and wherein the plurality of program portions includes a first program portion capable of generating first signals that are commands to be provided to a controlled device – see FIG. 5 and column 5 lines 38-49 “library 178 of control programs”; and a second program portion capable of governing standard agent-type functionality – see FIG. 6, column 5 lines 34-37 and column 7 lines 13-33 “knowledge database 184 governs information concerning functional and status aspects of the agents”; and a third program portion capable of governing application-specific agent-type functionality – see FIG. 2, FIG. 4, column 4 lines 45-52 and column 5 lines 29-34, “application program 120”.

**Regarding claim 25**

Klein teaches the invention including a distributed control system for controlling a process performed by a plurality of controllable devices including first and second controllable devices, the distributed control system comprising: a network – see FIG. 4; and first and second controllers coupled to the network, wherein the first and second controllers include first and second processors, respectively, and first and second storage media, respectively – see FIG. 4 and column 5 lines 13-28, “there are plurality of agent, each is shown in FIG. 4 which includes a processor and a storage media”; wherein first and second application-specific control programs are stored on the first and second storage media, respectively, the first and second control programs enabling the respective first and second controllers to generate commands to be provided to the first and second controllable devices, respectively – see FIG. 5 and column 5 lines 38-49 “library 178 of control programs”; and wherein first and second agent-related programs are additionally stored on the first and second storage media, respectively, the first and

Art Unit: 2121

second agent-related programs each including agent-type programming to enable the first and second controllers to operate as agents – see FIG. 2, FIG. 4, column 4 lines 45-52 and column 5 lines 29-34, “application program 120 operates the agents”, to communicate with one another via the network, and to interact with the first and second control programs to govern operations of the first and second controllable devices – see column 4 lines 45-52 “communicating with the network via the machine interface program 124” and FIG. 6, column 5 lines 34-37 and column 7 lines 13-33 “knowledge database 184 governs information concerning functional and status aspects of the agents”.

**Regarding claim 29**

Klein teaches the invention including a configuration device for enabling an industrial controller to operate as an agent that governs a controllable device, the configuration device comprising: a storage medium that stores an application-specific agent program portion capable of governing at least some agent-type functionality – see FIG. 6, column 5 lines 34-37 and column 7 lines 13-33 “knowledge database 184 governs information concerning functional and status aspects of the agents”, and an application-specific control program portion capable of generating control signals to be provided to the controllable device – see FIG. 2, FIG. 4, column 4 lines 45-52 and column 5 lines 29-34, “application program 120 operates the agents”; and an interface configured to allow the application-specific agent program portion and the application-specific control program portion to be downloaded onto the industrial controller – see column 4 lines 45-52 “communicating with the network via the machine interface program 124”.

**Regarding claim 32**

Klein teaches the invention including a method of configuring a controller for operation as part of a multi-agent distributed control system that controls a plurality of devices to perform a process, the method comprising: providing a controller that stores, and is capable of operating in accordance with, first and second program portions – see FIG. 4 and column 5 lines 16-27 “sub-system 160 is operate in accordance with the programming portion in the primary memory”, wherein the first program portion is capable of processing incoming signals received from, and outgoing signals provided onto, a network connected to the controller so that network protocol information appropriate for communicating the signals over the network is removed from or added to the incoming and outgoing signals, respectively – see column 5 lines 21-25 and FIG. 4 shows network interface 171 connects programming portions via application interface 124, and wherein the second program portion is capable of governing general agent-type functionality of the controller – see FIG. 6, column 5 lines 34-37 and column 7 lines 13-33 “knowledge database 184 governs information concerning functional and status aspects of the agents”; and supplementing the controller with application-specific control programming and application-specific agent-type programming so that the controller is able to operate as an agent in relation to both other agents of the multi-agent distributed control system and in relation to at least one of the controllable devices – see FIG. 2, FIG. 4, column 4 lines 45-52 and column 5 lines 29-34, “application program 120 operates the agents”.

**Regarding claim 35**

Klein teaches the invention including a control device comprising: a processor – see FIG. 4 “CPU 162”; and a memory device in communication with the processor – see FIG. 4 “primary

Art Unit: 2121

memory 166 in communication with the CPU”, wherein agent-related information is stored on the memory device that includes agent-related programming that can be performed by the processor so that the control device can operate as a first agent – see FIG. 2, FIG. 4, column 4 lines 45-52 and column 5 lines 29-34, “application program 120 operates the agents”, and wherein the agent-related information further includes first information concerning network addresses of other agents with which the control device is capable of communicating by way of a network – see column 4 lines 45-52 “communicating with the network via the machine interface program 124”; and second information concerning capabilities of the other agents – see FIG. 6, column 5 lines 34-37 and column 7 lines 13-33 “knowledge database 184 governs information concerning functional and status aspects of the agents”; wherein the control device outputs messages capable of being communicated over the network to at least some of the other agents, and wherein the processor determines the messages that should be output based upon the agent-related programming, the first information and the second information – see column 7 lines 34-42.

**Regarding claim 2**

Klein teaches wherein the controlled device is utilized to perform a portion of an industrial process, the controller is an industrial controller, and the first program portion is a control program portion – see column 5 lines 29-34.

**Regarding claims 3 and 31**

Klein teaches wherein the control program portion is written in ladder logic code – see column 4 lines 45-52.



**Regarding claim 4**

Klein teaches wherein the plurality of program portions additionally includes a fourth program portion that is base firmware stored in a read-only memory of the at least one storage medium, and wherein the base firmware is capable of receiving the first signals from the first program and formatting the first signals for transmission to the controlled device – see column 5 lines 13-27.

**Regarding claim 5**

Klein teaches wherein the second program portion includes firmware extension programming – see column 5 lines 38-53.

**Regarding claim 6**

Klein teaches wherein the second program portion includes a planner that governs at least a portion of the standard agent-type functionality including creating and interpreting bid request messages and bid messages – see column 5 lines 54-61.

**Regarding claim 7**

Klein teaches wherein the second program portion includes an execution controller that governs at least a portion of the standard agent-type functionality including translating plans of the planner into commands that can be provided to the first program portion – see column 7 lines 13-33.

**Regarding claims 11 and 33**

Klein teaches wherein the bid request messages and bid messages include scripts written in a language selected from the group consisting of JDL, XML and KQML – see column 7 lines 34-42.

Art Unit: 2121

**Regarding claim 12**

Klein teaches wherein the planner includes at least one of parsing/integration programming that allows the planner to process the bid request messages and bid messages, and subcontracting management programming – see column 11 line 65 to column 2 line 15.

**Regarding claim 13**

Klein teaches wherein the planner further includes wrapping/unwrapping software for formatting at least some of the bid request messages and the bid messages in accordance with a FIPA protocol – see column 15 lines 9-43.

**Regarding claim 15**

Klein teaches wherein the second program portion is capable of governing a downloading of at least some of the third program portion from a source other than the controller during development of a distributed control system of which the controller forms a part – see column 13 lines 24-36.

**Regarding claim 16**

Klein teaches wherein the second program portion further allows for remote activation and deactivation at least some of the agent-type functionality of the controller – see column 1 lines 23-35.

**Regarding claim 17**

Klein teaches wherein the second program portion operates in a priority-based manner and employs a Common Industrial Protocol, and wherein the controller serves as a bridge device between standard industrial networks and packing protocols and multi-agent system communication languages and logical networks – see column 9 lines 18-33.

Art Unit: 2121

**Regarding claim 18**

Klein teaches wherein the second program portion includes at least one directory facilitator – see column 7 lines 52-61.

**Regarding claim 19**

Klein teaches wherein each directory facilitator is capable of accessing a first data portion in which addresses corresponding to a first plurality of agents are listed, and a second data portion in which capabilities corresponding to a second plurality of agents are listed – see column 7 lines 13-42.

**Regarding claim 20**

Klein teaches wherein the second program portion includes both a local directory facilitator and a global directory facilitator, wherein the directory facilitators are permanent and generic components, and wherein the controller has employs any of a variety of protocols to organize intercommunications among the directory facilitators – see column 5 lines 38-61.

**Regarding claim 21**

Klein teaches wherein the second program portion includes a capability matcher that is capable of monitoring interactions among agents and identifying related clusters of agents – see column 6 lines 51-67.

**Regarding claim 22**

Klein teaches wherein the third program portion includes at least one of application-specific agent scripts portion, a diagnostics portion and an equipment model portion – see column 5 lines 44-53.

**Regarding claim 24**

Klein teaches wherein the plurality of program portions further includes a fourth program portion providing an operating system – see column 5 lines 13-27.

**Regarding claim 30**

Klein teaches wherein the application-specific agent program portion includes programming providing a diagnostics capability and an equipment model that is written in a language selected from the group consisting of C++, JAVA, and another high-level language – column 7 lines 34-42.

**Regarding claim 34**

Klein teaches wherein the supplementing includes at least one of downloading the application-specific control programming and the application-specific agent-type programming onto the controller by way of a communication linkage and coupling an add-on device including the application-specific control programming and the application-specific agent-type programming to the controller – see column 9 lines 11-33.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

9. Claims 8-10, 14, 23, and 26-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner *Thomas Pham*; whose telephone number is (571) 272-3689, Monday - Friday from 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM EST or contact Supervisor *Mr. Anthony Knight* at (571) 272-3687.

Any response to this office action should be mailed to: **Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria VA 22313-1450**. Responses may also be faxed to the **official fax number (571) 273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**Thomas Pham**  
*Primary Examiner*

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Thuy Pham', written over the printed name and title.

December 22, 2006